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**Abstract: Importance** Posttraumatic growth (PTG) can be easily assessed and potentially lead to optimal outcomes of the patients, health providers, and general populations affected by Covid-19. **Objective**To conduct the first meta-analysis and systematic review of the association between PTG and Covid-19 and posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSS). **DATA SOURCES AND STUDY SELECTION** PubMed (30), PsychINFO (6), Academic Search Complete (4 ), Ovid MEDLINE (20), electronic databases were systematically searched from May 23, 2023 through August 20, 2023 and an additional search was done until December 18, 2023, to identify all eligible studies reporting the association between PTG and Covid-19 by suing the following Medical Subject Heading and psychological terms: Covid-19, posttraumatic growth, PTG, stress-related growth, adverse growth, positive outcomes, positive effects, self-growth. **DATA EXTRACTION AND SYNTHESIS** Data were screened and extracted independently by 2 investigators (A.A. and Q.D.). Adjusted effect estimates were employed, and pooled analysis was conducted, using the Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman rondom-effects model. Sensitivity and subgroup analyses were conducted to assess the robustness of the findings. The Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology(MOOSE) reporting guideline was followed (QZ). **MAINOUTCOMES AND MEASURES** The main outcome measure is PTGI. This includes variants of PTGI such as PTGI-SF and PTGI-X. All the scores collected were converted to regular PTGI scale so that we can effectively compare the results of the studies spanning more population. Such conversion makes our results more generalizable. In addition to PTGI, we also considered covariates that might influence PTG. The covariates can be categorized into two main types: 1) Major Risk Factors, and 2) Protective Factors. The major risk factor includes covariates such as depression, PTSD, and anxiety. Protective factors include covariates spanning from coping strategy to social support. **RESULTS** The search yielded 30 selected studies comprising 42, 386 participants of which 30 studies presented data on the COVID-19-PTG association, and 12 presented data on PTSD. On pooled analysis, COVID-19 induced a significantly positive PTG (g = 0.75 95% CI [0.45, 1.05]), with a high heterogeneity in the analysis(*I*2 = 99.72%). Subgroup analyses indicated a slight association between Covid-19 related PTG and PTSD in COVID-19. For people who had PTSD, we obtained a mean effect size of g = 1.04, 95% CI [0.07, 2.00]. For people who did not have PTSD, the mean effect size was g = 0.55, 95% CI [0.18, 0.92].

**Conclusion and Relevance** The findings indicate that COVID-19 events could be associated with PTG as a potential positive outcome. Future investigation should pursue more prospective design and explore the biobehavioral mechanisms underlying this relationship to promote PTG-related better outcomes in patient-centered care. (250 words + four subtitles).

**Key Points**

**Question** Is Covid-19 potentially associated with posttraumatic growth among patients, health care providers, and general population globally exposed to the deadly pandemic?

**Findings** In this meta-analysis 30 studies including 42, 386. individuals, PTG was associated with individuals exposed to Covid-19 in both medical settings and beyond; the pooled association was consistent with that of findings from studies with diverse populations.

**Meaning** The findings suggest that an optimal outcome, posttraumatic growth, could occur among various populations affected by Covid-19 and that attention to this positive side of this global existential threat may be important for mental and public health during the pandemic.

***Keywords:*** Meta-analysis and systematic review, cardiovascular disease (COVID-19), post-traumatic growth (PTG), myocardial infarction, stroke, cardiac procedures

**Introduction**

Since the World Health Organization declared the 2019 novel coronavirus (Covid-19) as a global emergency (Sohrabi et al., 2020), the pandemic has created a sweeping impact on mortality and detrimental psychiatric consequences (O’Connor et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). Unlike other severe diseases (e.g., cardiovascular diseases, cancer), Covid-19’s effect is pervasive and traumatic, influencing many sectors of populations (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2020) and at all levels (individual, family, community, nation, and the word). Its highly contiguous nature of COVID-19 also caused health and existential threats to both patients and health-care providers (Khattab et al., 2020; Pietrzak et al., 2021).

Because of exposure to COVID-19, psychiatric stress and alter human cognition (e.g., negative thoughts or views of the world) could rise as do following other traumas (Moreland et al., 2023). However, positive cognition, such as posttraumatic growth (PTG), can also emerge with trauma-related struggles as psychological gains (e.g., positive mindset changes in cognition and worldview) (Calhoun & Tedeschi, 2006). PTG is also a kind of better outcomes in patients with life-altering diseases and trauma survivors (Ma et al., 2022; Pięta & Rzeszutek, 2022; Shand et al., 2015; Tsi et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). In 2021, a national representative study of US veterans reported moderate to greater levels of PTG resulting from the COVID-19 experience, especially among those suffering from posttraumatic stress symptoms (PTSD) linked with positive Covid-19 results (Pietrzak et al., 2021).

Given the long-term and vast impact of Covid-19 (Emek, et al., 2021; Parums, 2021), it is necessary to examine if PTG cooccur with this pandemic as a modifiable dimension of outcomes in the United States and globally. Most observed domains involved greater positive changes in personal strength, appreciation of life, and social relationships. Other small-scale studies on Covid-19 related PTG have also emerged in the United States and other countries as well. To provide more reliable and robust conclusion on this positive mind-set and worldview gains across different sectors of populations affected by Covid-19 (general populations, health-care providers, and infected patients), we conducted this first meta-analytic review to examining PTG in COVID-19.

Some meta-analyses on other chronic or infectious diseases and a few on pandemics have demonstrated an association between PTG and adjustment among individuals living with HIV/AIDS (Pięta & Rzeszutek, 2022) and/or cancer (Ma et al., 2022; Sawyer et al., 2010; Shand et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). However, there is a lack of similar pooled analysis on the observed PTG in recent major pandemics. The paucity implies that more meta-analyses will be desirable for this optimal outcome in future medical research.

The study employed a systematic approach to synthesize empirical studies in the United States and around the world. We aimed to reach a more creditable conclusion for an urgent question: Whether PTG could be observed globally under the threat of COVID-19 to mankind. More specifically, we evaluated the magnitude and consistency of the pandemic related PTG, as a change in posttraumatic positive cognition. We also conducted subgroup analyses linking PTG with certain risk and protective factors.

# **Methods**

# **Data Sources and Searches**

This systematic meta-analytic review was conducted and presented following the recommendations of the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) reporting guideline (Stroup et al., 2000). A systematic literature search of Ovid MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Academic Search Complete, and PubMed was performed for research articles published from 2000 since the first year of Covid-19, to 2023. The following Medical and Psychological Subject Heading terms were used to identify studies that assessed PTG in patients with COVID-19: posttraumatic growth, stress-related growth, adverse growth, COVID-19 MI, positive effects, positive outcome and self-growth. Furthermore, references from selected studies and relevant review articles were reviewed to identify additional publications (M…!).

# **Study Selection**

Two investigators (A.A., Q.D) independently reviewed the potential candidates for this review. Eligible studies were original empirical research articles that assessed posttraumatic and adulthood growth related terms in different types of people who had various with the global pandemic (e.g., patients, health providers, community dwellers, etc.). Studies on other pandemic only (e.g., Ebola, influenzas) or other disasters were excluded. Then, for the purpose of uniformity of measure, we decide to adopt PTGI (Posttraumatic Growth Inventory) as the standard scale for measuring PTG. Any variants of PTGI such as PTGI-SF that could be easily converted to standard PTGI scoring (or a more specific word, Q…?) were included. That was,, only those with mean scores on PTG with standard deviation or correlates with PTG were included.

Studies using open-ended measures were also excluded due to the lack of validation. Studies that did not employ an established and validated scale that specifically focused on PTG, or used other types of measures for gains were excluded. Measures such as Stress-related growth scales (e.g., SRGS, Cohen, & Murch, 1996, Park & Blumberg, 2002) that were derived from a different set of items, and accesses different aspects of PTG, were excluded. The latter type of exclusion also involved measures on such broader positive changes as Benefit Finding Scale (Mohr et al. 1999) Perceived Benefit Scale (McMillen & Fisher, 1998). This was because certain gains did not pertain to adulthood growth.

**Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

According to pre-planned protocol and using a standardized form, an assistant investigator (M.R.) reviewed all abstracts first to identify potential studies for inclusion. Full text articles were obtained for all potentially eligible studies and were independently reviewed by two investigators (A.A., Q.D.). Disagreement on the selected studies were then discussed for finalizing the sample. Next, the second reviewer (Q.D.) extracted data from the selected studies. The following data were extracted: study characteristics (e.g., author, year of publication, sample size, study design), patient characteristics (e.g., age, sex, COVID-19 diagnosis), PTG assessment tools, and the measure of PTG in the form of PTGI, and the standard deviation of the measure for each study. The quality of the selected studies was assessed using Meta-analyses of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Checklist (Zuuren & Fedorowicz, 2016).

**Statistical Analysis**

R (version 4.3.1) was used to conduct the meta-analysis. The current study selected articles that reported the mean and standard deviation (SD) of Posttraumatic Growth (PTG) as assessed by the either Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI) developed by Tedeschi and Calhoun (1996) or its shorted form (Cann et al., 2010).

The PTG measures used in this study involved summing up ratings across 21 self-reported questions, resulting in a possible score range of 0 to 105. The weighted mean of PTGI was used to identify the level of PTG on COVID-19 patients. To categorize the level of PTG, a cutoff point was established: scores below 45 indicated none to low levels of PTG, while scores of 45 or above indicated medium to high levels of PTG.

The aforementioned method of categorizing the level of PTG based on a predetermined cutoff point allows for a clear distinction between individuals with varying levels of PTG and facilitates the interpretation of study findings. If we find that the PTG measure collected from the studies are significantly higher than 45 cut-off point, then we would conclude that, overall, across different population, among all different levels, PTG related to COVID-19 is significantly positive, and that people became stronger because of the global pandemic.

The current study uses a random effect meta-analytical model for main analysis. Random effect model does not assume a single true effect size, but rather assume a distribution of true effect sizes. This allows us the flexibility to take between study variability/heterogeneity in the effect sizes into account in our analysis.

**Results**

**Study Characteristics**

Identified articles were in English, though no language restriction was used to cover publications in both the United States and abroad. Figure 1 illustrates a flow diagram of the literature and related screening process. The search yielded 60 unique publications, of which 42 qualified for full-text review. In the end, 30 studies (Adjorlolo et al., 2022);(Arnout & Al-Sufyani, 2021);(Bai et al., 2023); (Barnicot et al., 2023); (Castiglioni et al., 2023); (Chasson et al., 2022); (Chen & Tang, 2021); (Chen et al., 2020); (Das et al., 2023); (El-Khoury Malhame et al., 2023); (Gul et al., 2023); (Kalaitzaki, Tsouvelas & Tamiolaki, 2022); (Kalaitzaki et al., 2023); (Lan et al., 2023); (Lau, Chan & Ng, 2021); (Lewis et al., 2022); (Lyu et al., 2021); (Morales et al., 2023); (Mo et al., 2022); (Northfield & Johnston, 2021);(Tu et al., 2023); (Ulset & von Soest, 2022); (Vazquez et al., 2021); (Wang et al., 2023); (Willey et al., 2022); (Yao et al., 2023); (Yeung et al., 2022); (Yildiz, 2021); (Zhang et al., 2021); (Zhou, MacGeorge & Myrick, 2020), met the inclusion criteria for the main analysis. 12 studies were selected for subgroup analyses on PTSD citations of them (….. QZ! MC! -- ) . Of these 12 included in the analysis, all employed PTGI or PTGI-SF to examine PTG (MC need to check the above cited study completion). Nineteen were cross-sectional studies and 7 were prospective studies. For the selected studies involving a total of 42,386 individuals, table 1 and table 3 present their overall characteristics.

Among the studies included in the analysis, seven studies were performed in the United States (Chen et al., 2021) ; (Morales et al., 2023); (Northfield & Johnston, 2021); (Tu et al., 2023); (Willey et al., 2022); (Zhang et al., 2021); (Zhou, MacGeorge & Myrick, 2020), two in the United Kingdom (Barnicot et al., 2023); (Lewis et al., 2022), one in Ghana (Adjorlolo et al., 2022), two from Greece (Kalaitzaki, Tsouvelas & Tamiolaki, 2022); (Kalaitzaki et al., 2023)and from the European countries of Norway, Italy, Spain and the Netherlands, one study was found from each (Castiglioni et al., 2023);(Vazquez et al., 2021); (Ulset & von Soest, 2022); (Garnefski et al., 2008), six from the Middle Eastern countries of Turkey, Pakistan and Saudi Arabia, and Israel (Arnout &Al-Sufyani, 2021); (Chasson et al., 2022); (Das et al., 2023); (El-Khoury Malhame et al., 2023); (Gul et al., 2023), (Javed & Dawood, 2016) , nine in China, (Bai et al., 2023); (Chen & Tang, 2021); (Lan et al., 2023); (Lau, Chen & Ng,l., 2021); (Lyu et al., 2021); (Mo et al., 2022); (Wang et al., 2023); (Yao et al., 2023); (Yeung et al., 2022). Included articles involved a variety of different types of people such as patients and the general population (Adjorlolo et al., 2022); (Arnout & Al-Sufyani, 2021); (Castiglioni et al., 2023);(Chen & Tang, 2021); (El-Khoury Malhame et al., 2023); (Gul et al.,2023); (Kalaitzaki, Tsouvelas & Tamiolaki, 2022); (Lau, Chan & Ng, 2021); (Lewis et al., 2022); (Northfield & Johnston, 2021); (Ulset & von Soest, 2022); (Vazquez et al., 2021); (Willey et al., 2022); (Zhou et al., 2020), nurses and medical doctors (Bai et al., 2023); (Barnicot et al., 2023); (Chen et al., 2020); (Das et al., 2023); (Kalaitzaki et al., 2023);(Lyu et al., 2021); (Mo et al., 2022); (Yao et al., 2023); (Yeung et al., 2022); (Zhang et al., 2021), pregnant women (Chasson et al., 2022), and students (Lan et al., 2023); (Morales et al., 2023); (Tu et al., 2023); (Wang et al., 2023); (Yildiz, 2021); most of which centered on the general population during COVID 19. And double checked by QZ!!!!

**Main analysis**

The main analysis included 30 studies, involving a total of 42,386 individuals (wrong place???). The sample size of these studies ranged from 100 (Willey et al., 2022) to 12,586 individuals (Ulset & von Soest, 2022).

Most of the studies had a significant proportion of male participants, with the percentage ranging from 4.40% (Chen et al., 2020) to 61.62% (Das et al., 2023); ( Mean age of them ranged from 24.96 (Zhai et al., 2021) to 76.3 (Willey et al., 2022) years. The mean age of the participants varied with studies, with a few not providing explicit data, but indicating that the participants were adults above 18 years old (citationQZ….).

The mean PTG ranged from 26.54 to 98.30 with varying degrees of standard deviation, indicative of the range and spread of PTG scores in these studies. Table 1 presents overall characteristics of all studies.

The main analysis revealed a significant positive effect relative to the cutoff point of 45 (z = 4.915, p-value < 0.0001). This indicates that PTG related to COVID-19 has been overwhelmingly positive, confirming our hypothesis mentioned in the introduction.

**Subgroup Analyses**

Table 4 shows the summary of subgroup analysis on PTSD???? , ….(….QZ?)

Major Risk Factors: Depression, PTSD, Anxiety

Protective: Coping, Spirituality, Social Support, Attachment

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this study is the first meta-analysis to estimate the relationship between Covid-19 and PTG. The current review has provided compelling findings for PTG, associated with the Covid-19 pandemic that imposed existential crisis around the world over three years since late 2019. Selected studies cover strong evidence from research conducted the United States and beyond, which involving patients, health care providers, students, veterans, and general populations from four continents. Our findings suggest that a positive outcome can emerge from deadly diseases with a global scope, as was shown in other chronic ailments with certain life risks (Ma et al., 2022; Pięta & Rzeszutek, 2022; Sawyer et al., 2010; Shand et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). Different from those conditions, Covid-19 did take lives of nurses and physicians who provided direct or indirect services of patients who were infected (QZ).

Marg please confirm all below blue!!!!

This meta-analysis consisted of 30 studies(Adjorlolo et al., 2022); (Arnout & Al-Sufyani., 2021); (Bai et al., 2023); (Barnicot et al., 2023); (Castiglioni et al., 2023); (Chasson et al., 2022); (Chen & Tang., 2021); (Das et al., 2023); (El-Khoury Malhame et al., 2023); (Gul et al., 2023); (Kalaitzaki, Tsouvelas & Tamiolaki, 2022); (Kalaitzaki et al., 2023); (Lan et al., 2023); (Lau, Chan & Ng, 2021); (Lewis et al., 2022); (Lyu et al., 2021); (Morales et al., 2023); (Mo et al., 2022); (Northfield & Johnston, 2021); (Tu et al., 2023); (Ulset & von Soest, 2022); (Vazquez et al., 2022); (Wang et al., 2023); (Willey et al., 2022); (Yao et al., 2023); (Yeung et al., 2022); (Yildiz, 2021); (Zhang et al., 2021); (Zhou, MacGeorge & Myrick, 2020) involving 42,386…. participants. All of them associated PTG with Covid-19. # of studies also associated PTG with ..???? (QZ). Despite the heterogeneity in sample characteristics (e.g., age, country of origin, culture, position in the pandemic), the result among studies were consistent. An overall pooled risk ratio of….. suggests…….(QZ). Of 30 studies, ??# of them showed whatever….(need just key # here to make your statement! (QZ)

**Subgroup Analyses of PTG and Covariates**

PTSS….

**Mechanisms**

Most studies in this meta-analysis have involved basic science investigation. However, bourgeoning studies on PTG have provided valuable information in an interdisciplinary knowledge base for PTG, which may suggest mechanisms in domains involving physiological, biochemical, immunological, neuronal, and genetic alteration (Dell’Osso et al., 2023).

In the neuroendocrine and immunological area, Smyth et al. (2008) and Diaz et al. (2014) have associated higher levels of PTG with low levels of cortisol in patients suffering from PTSD and women with breast cancer, respectively. In a more established area, brain function and structures, Rabe et al. (2006) showed the association between PTG and the frontocentral EEG alpha asymmetry in survivors of motor vehicle accidents, after controlling for trait positive affect. Importantly, one unique study associated PTSS during Covid-19 positively with grey matter volume in medial prefrontal cortex/dorsal anterior singular cortex in structured magnetic resonance imaging data acquired before the pandemic(Lan et al., 2023). It also linked PTG negatively with grey matter volume in left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex.

In the genomic area, Dunn et al. (2014) pioneered the gene-environment (GxE) interaction study in relation to PTG using a New Orleans sample of low-income non-Hispanic Black individuals who exposed to Hurricane Katrina. Among the identified ten common variants in seven genes, the presence of homozygotes rs4606 variants of RGS2 gene, was strongly associated with greater PTG after multiple testing, which appeared to be driven by a GxE interaction. Finally, in the cardiac physiology area, Wei et al. (2017) found that, in posttraumatic individuals who responded to positive images, the low and high frequency components of HRV were significantly higher in PTG group than in control and PTSD group. Clearly, basic science research on the mechanism of PTG in Covid-19 may assist better clinical care in the future.

**Clinical Significance**

The pooled international evidence from this meta-analysis made PTG a compelling arena for study within broad areas of preventive medicine, behavioral was associated with medicine, and post-disaster health. The consistent finding pointed to three dimensions for future investigation in the patient-centered care era. First, this systematic review involves considerable variability in study samples, global locations, age range, and cultural diversity. The consistent results suggests that the PTGI may be an suitable instrument for assessing this positive outcome following a deadly pandemic. Further longitudinal studies may provide more information for its usage as a clinical tool in medical settings.

Second, more interdisciplinary investigation is warranted to reveal how PTG is related to medical, biophysical, and behavioral mechanisms. A complicated relation therein can be expected, because PTG and pathology belong to two different paradigms in human wellbeing (Ai et al., 2013; 2021), while PTG and PTSD are both related to struggle as two sides for one coin, trauma. Finally, Covid-19, and likely similar future pandemics, may cause remarkable population impact and long-term health damage in certain proportions of patients (e.g., long-Covid). Whether PTG will be related to the better prognosis of pathological process of Covid-19 and a survival benefit for victims of long Covid-19 remains unknown. However, a meta-analysis showed its association with low mortality in non-pandemic patients (Ma, Wan & Chen, 2022); Wan, Huang & Peng, 2023). If this is the case for people affected by pandemics, the evidence here and then may support the development of interventions that could enhance real growth and related optimal outcomes in patients and at-high risk health care providers.

**Limitations**

The limitations of this meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, methodological heterogeneous exist across studies and contributed to divergent findings of studies included. The diverse populations involved, concerning age, race, cultural, geographic location, and roles in the pandemic (e.g., patients, health providers, general populations) may also lead to heterogeneous findings. The link between Covid-19 and PTG was nevertheless evident across these studies. Second, to be conceptually sound, we excluded studies with scales without specific foci on growth and those with only unvalidated, single-item measures. This decision could exclude potentially valuable information. Third, important medical indices are missing in most studies; thus, we could not systematically assess their associations with PTG. Fourth, covariates included in studies vary wildly, which may account for the heterogeneity in our subgroup analysis. Finally, due to the emergent pandemic, studies in this meta-analysis were published in a close period (2020-2022) with few were conducted in a prospective design. The increased overtime growth has been observed by a new Covid-19 (Kalaitzaki et al., 2023) and a few non-Covid (Ai et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2020; Kelly et al., 2018) prospective studies, a fact underscoring the need to follow-up post-pandemic PTG.

**Conclusions**

Over the past two decades, burgeoning research has shown PTG as a promising endpoint after trauma and disasters. The findings from this first meta-analysis suggest that the optimal outcome could occur among patients, health care providers, and general populations who were all exposed to the Covid-19 threat globally. PTG includes a posttraumatic worldview change in varied domains, or positive outlook, that could lead to optimal behavioral changes. Future research should employ prospective designs to reveal its health benefit in long-term survival and quality of life. Investigation should also address important medical questions (e.g., What could be behavioral and salutogenic mechanism of adulthood growth to inform potential interventions.
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**Table 1: Overview of the selected studies (k=30) for main analysis**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Year | Sample Size | Male % | | Age (Mean) | | Endpoint | | Follow up, y | | PTG Mean | PTG SD | |
| Adjorlolo et al. | 2022 | 381 |  | 59.3 | | 43.1 | |  | | 3-months | | |  |
| Arnout & Al-Sufyani | 2021 | 365 |  | 31.8 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Chasson et al. | 2022 | 916 |  | n/a | | 28.16 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Chen & Tang | 2021 | 476 |  | 55.50 | | 32.7 | |  | | January 20th | | |  |
| Chen et al. | 2020 | 12,596 |  | 4.40 | | 32.7 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Das et al. | 2023 | 166 |  | 61.62 | | 36.7 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Dominick & Elam | 2023 | 201 |  | 22.40 | | 35.39 | |  | | 4/30/20-9/30/20 | | |  |
| El-Khoury Malhame et al. | 2023 | 252 |  | 71(28.3) | | 25.00 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Gul | 2023 | 300 |  | 50 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Kalaitzaki et al. | 2022 | 1,361 |  | 24.2,17.3 | | 35.36 | |  | | Second Lockdown | | |  |
| Lan et al. | 2023 | 115 |  | 49 | | 22.37 | |  | | February-April 2020 | | |  |
| Lau et al. | 2021 | 327 |  | 28.10 | | 35 | |  | | 4/24/20-5/12/20 | | |  |
| Lewis et al. | 2022 | 1,424 |  | 21.90 | | 46.7 | |  | | November 20th | | |  |
| Lyu et al. | 2021 | 535 |  | 45.79 | | 35.41 | |  | | May 20th | | |  |
| Mo | 2022 | 266 |  | 24 | | 32.34 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Morales et al. | 2023 | 891 |  | 310 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Northfield & Johnston | 2021 | 296 |  | 41.20 | | 39.7 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Pietrzak et al. | 2021 | 7,860 |  | 91.60 | | 63.3 | |  | | 11/9/20-12/19/20 | | |  |
| Prieto-Ursua & Jodar | 2020 | 1,091 |  | 30.60 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Tu et al. | 2023 | 290 |  | 123 | | 25-29 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Ulset & Soest | 2022 | 12,686 |  | 43.6 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Vazquez et al. | 2021 | 1,951 |  | 918 | | 45.16 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Wang et al. | 2023 | 100 |  | n/a | | 22.42 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Willey et al. | 2022 | 176 |  | 74 | | 76.3 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Yao et al. | 2023 | 1,512 |  | 6.20 | | 32.46 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Yeung et al. | 2022 | 1,510 |  | n/a | | 36.8 | |  | | September 20th | | |  |
| Yildiz | 2021 | 292 |  | 63 | | 21.20 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Zhai et al. | 2021 | 423 |  | 157 | | 24.96 | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Zhang et al. | 2021 | 1,790 |  | 11 | | n/a | |  | | n/a | | |  |
| Zhou et al. | 2020 | 1,021 |  | 47.31 | | 45.3 | |  | | 5/4-5/8, 5/18-5/22 | | |  |

**Table 2: Statistical result of Main Analysis**
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**Figure 2 : Forest Plot of Main Analysis**
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**Table 3 :** Overview of the selected studies (k=13) for subgroup analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | Year | Sample size | Male,% | Age (mean) | End Point | Follow up, y | Determinant |
| Ai et al. | 2013 | 262 | 60% | 62.4 | Cardiovascular diseases | 2.5 years | Depression, Coping, Spirituality, Social Support, Age, Gender |
| Garnesfski et al. | 2008 | 139 | 82% | 35-70 | Myocardial infarction | 3~12 months | Depression, Coping, Spirituality, Age, Gender |
| Hu et al. | 2020 | 65 | 70.80% | N/A (>18) | Stroke | 1 month | Depression, Spirituality, Social Support |
| Javed & Dawood | 2016 | 90 | 58% | 45-65 | Myocardial infarction | 1 month – 3 years | Coping, Social Support |
| Kelly et al. | 2017 | 43 | 58% | 74.53 | Stroke | 6 months | Coping, Social Support |
| Losiak & Nikiel | 2014 | 53 | 60.37% | 57.30 | Myocardial infarction | ~5.5 weeks | Coping, Spirituality |
| Magid et al. | 2019 | 52 | 69.20% | 64.80 | Cardiac disease | 43 months | Coping, Age |
| Overbaugh et al. | 2014 | 103 | 76% | 74 | Heart failure | N/A | Age, Gender |
| Rahimi et al. | 2016 | 166 | 84.9% | 55.3 | Myocardial infarction | ~7.78 months | Social support |
| Sheikh | 2004 | 110 | 79% | 63.5 | Heart disease | ~5 years | Coping. Social Support |
| Senol-Durak & Ayvasik | 2010 | 132 | 11.4% | 52.04 | Myocardial infarction | N/A | Depression, Coping, Social Support, Age, Gender |
| Gangstad & Norman et al. | 2009 | 60 | 56.67% | 71.67 | Stroke |  | Depression, Coping |
| Peng, Z. Y., & Wan, L. H. | 2018 | 115 | 70.4% | 62.43 | Stroke | 6 months | Social Support |

**Table 4 : Results Summary of subgroup analysis**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Correlate** | **K** | **N** | **ES** | **95% CI lower** | **95% CI upper** | **I2** |
| Depression | 5 | 658 | -0.15 | -0.41 | 0.11 | 91.96% |
| Coping Strategies | 9 | 941 | 0.50 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 93.04% |
| Spirituality | 4 | 519 | 0.56 | 0.38 | 0.75 | 89.38% |
| Social Support | 8 | 983 | 0.29 | -0.05 | 0.62 | 98.25% |
| Age | 5 | 688 | 0.04 | -0.12 | 0.20 | 78.49% |
| Gender | 4 | 636 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 11.01% |

**Appendix**

**Figure 3: Forest plot for Depression related PTG among COVID-19 people**
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**Methodological Heterogeneity across Studies**

In the final sample of studies, most studies used PTGI or its variations (e.g., SF, CPTGI), except one used SRGS-SF (Zhai et al., 2021). Despite the discrepancy in assessments, the link between CVD and PTG were compatible among studies. Thus, both scales on adulthood growth should be seen as usable in Covid-19 research. Given its wide usage in international studies, PTGI should be considered as a better choice in future clinical studies. Furthermore, the… For example, mean age of Chasson et al.’s (2022) study was 28.16, whereas Pietrzak et al.’ (2021) was 63.3. Both groups showed moderate or moderately high-level PTG (this may be modified based on subgroup analysis of age effects). Finally,

This diverse populations in these studies concerning age, race, cultural, and roles in the pandemic (e.g., patients, health providers, general populations). There was also the variation in when PTG was observed across 30 studies. The assessment time ranged from ?? month (?? et al., 202?) to?? years (?? et al., 202? QZ, replace them). Fifth, some studies did not specify the gender positively related to PTG. Sixth, Given the complicated relationship of PTG with PTSD and depression, two CVD mortality risks, it should be interesting to explore the likely intertwining trajectory of the three constructs in patients with CVD prognosis. Finally, we do not have enough effect size to test a PTG-PTSD relationship in PTG. …Most studies are cross-sectional in nature, hindering the statement of causality, even though and a cross-sectional design was included in previous meta-analyses (e.g., Stroup et al., 2000).

.